This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/918,604	07/30/2001	Haneef D. Mohammed	CYPR-CD01057	5237
7590 08/16/2004			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			DO, CHAT C	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2124	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/918,604 MOHAMMED ET AL.		T AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chat C. Do	2124				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	address			
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ones of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication included from the mailing date of this communication included from the mailing date of this communication are ricod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stay received by the Office later than three months after the management term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimun od will apply and will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered tim 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30</u>) July 2001					
2a)∏ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with delaim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideratio					
Application	n Papers						
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		* *			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)□ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreith All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdethe attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdethe attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdethe attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa .	al Stage			
Attachment(s							
1) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Informati	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (P [*] er:	TO-152)			

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 17-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The applicant is advised to amend claims 17-18 to depend on the previous claim instead because they further define the limitations cited in the previous claim. Claim 17 should depend on claim 16 and claim 18 should depend on claim 17.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (U.S. 6,199,091).

Re claim 1, Kobayashi et al. disclose in Figures 1-2 a method of performing a pipelined arithmetic function (wherein Figure 2 is an extension of Figure 1) comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2124

a) receiving two N-bit operands (e.g. X and Y are two N-bit operands) into each of a plurality of adder elements in separate pipelines (e.g. first adder yields Z0-Z2 and second adder yields Z3-Z8 in separate pipelines),

- b) performing an add operation in each of plurality of adder elements wherein a first N-bit result (e.g. Z0-Z2) and a first carry bit (e.g. C1) is output from each of adder elements,
- c) receiving first N-bit result from each of adder elements into a respective N-bit result register (e.g. registers to store the results for Z0-Z2) and receiving first carry bit from each of adder elements into a respective carry bit register (e.g. C1);
- d) outputting from an incrementor (e.g. all the logics in the lower portion of producing Z3-Z4 except 7 and 9) in one of pipelines, a second N-bit result (e.g. Z3-Z4) and a second carry bit (e.g. C2) from the combination of a first result from a first of N-bit result registers (e.g. C1), a first carry bit from a first of carry bit registers (e.g. C1 from previous adder), and a first carry bit from a second of carry bit registers from a second of pipelines (e.g. second output line of 9); and
- e) supplying a final result (e.g. Z3-Z8 for 9 bits) being a combination of second N-bit result from incrementor (e.g. C2 inputs into the next stage for computing Z5-Z8), second carry bit from incrementor, and first N-bit result (e.g. outputs of 13 and 17) from a second N-bit result register in second pipeline.

Re claim 2, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the N-bit result registers are single width registers (e.g. non-register is double width).

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 3, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the carry bit registers are single bit registers (e.g. C1, C2, C3...).

Re claim 4, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the step c) further comprises respectively receiving first N-bit result into a plurality of single width N-bit registers (e.g. box 7 it combines X3 and Y3 to yield a single bit result Z3).

Re claim 5, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the step c) further comprises respectively receiving first carry bit into a plurality of single bit register (e.g. C1 is a single bit).

Re claim 6, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the step d) further omprises respectively receiving second N-bit result into a plurality of single width N-bit registers (e.g. result of operands X,Y from 0-2 is Z0 to Z2).

Re claim 7, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 the step d) further comprises receiving second carry bit into a plurality of single bit registers (e.g. C2 is a single bit).

Re claim 8, it is an adder claim of claim 1 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 8 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 9, it is an adder claim of claim 2 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 9 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 10, it is an adder claim of claim 3 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 10 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 11, it is an adder claim of claim 4 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 11 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 12, it is an adder claim of claim 2 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 12 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 13, it is an adder claim of claim 6 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 13 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 14, it is an adder claim of claim 2 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 14 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 15, Kobayashi et al. further disclose in Figures 1-2 a plurality of carry bit registers for respectively receiving carry bits from adder elements and incrementor (e.g. C1 from the first set of adder (1,3,5), C2 from the second set of adder (7,9) and incrementor (e.g. other lower portion of logics).

Re claim 16, it is a multistage adder claim of claim 1 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 16 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 17, it is a multistage adder claim of claim 2 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 17 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 18, it is a multistage adder claim of claim 6 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 18 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 19, it is a multistage adder claim of claim 15 which has similar limitations. Thus, claim 19 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 15.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 5,619,441 to Bartling discloses a high speed dynamic binary incrementer.
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 5,636,157 to Hesson et al. disclose a modular 64-bit integer adder.
 - c. U.S. Patent No. 6,591,286 to Lu discloses a pipelined carry-lookahead generation for a fast incrementer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (703) 305-5655. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2124

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

August 9, 2004

aar co

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100